

CHAPTER IV
Land Status, Land-Use and Postmining Land-Use

PART 4.0 Table of Contents

4.1	Scope.....	2
4.2	Methodology.....	2
4.3	Land Status.....	2
4.3.1	Surface Land Status/Mine Plan Area.....	2
4.3.1.1	Ownership.....	2
4.3.1.2	Surface Managing Authorities.....	2
4.3.1.3	Utility Corridors and Other Right-of-Ways.....	2
4.3.1.4	Special Use Permits and Leases.....	2
4.3.2	Mineral Ownership/Mine Plan Area.....	3
4.3.2.1	Coal Ownership and Mines.....	3
4.3.2.2	Coal Leases.....	3
4.3.2.3	Mineral Ownership and Mines.....	3
4.3.2.4	Mineral Leases.....	3
4.3.2.5	Oil and Gas Ownership and Wells.....	3
4.3.2.6	Oil and Gas Leases.....	3
4.4	Land Use.....	4
4.4.1	Regional Land-Use.....	4
4.4.2	Land-Use in Mine Plan Area.....	4
4.4.3	Land-Use During Operations.....	7
4.4.3.1	Affect of Operation on Land-Use.....	7
4.4.3.2	Mitigation of Effects of Operation.....	7
4.5	Postmining Land-Use.....	7
4.6	Socioeconomic Considerations.....	7
4.7	Bibliography.....	7
4.8	Chapter IV - Plates (Maps).....	7

Exhibits

Item 4-1 Emery County Zoning Regulations

Item 4-2 Emery County Planning Commission Minutes

Plates

Plate 4-1 Oil and Gas Leases, Grazing Allotment

PART 4.1 Scope

PART 4.2 Methodology

PART 4.3 Land Status

4.3.1 Surface Land Status/Mine Plan Area

Ownership of the surface rights within and contiguous to the mine plan and permit area is shown on Plates 2-1, 3-1 and 4-1. It is understood that additional land status is not given on contiguous land because it is the same as that shown. Also as shown on Plate 2-1 there are no structures within 1000' of the mine permit area.

4.3.1.1 Ownership

The United States Government under the supervision of the Manti- LaSal National Forest owns most of the surface rights in the immediate area of the permit and mine plan area as shown on Plates 2-1, 3-1 and 4-1.

Swisher Coal Company is now owned by the Atlantic Richfield Company. The properties formerly owned by Swisher are now operated by ARCO as Beaver Creek Coal Company. Mailing address for Beaver Creek Coal Company is as follows:

Beaver Creek Coal Company
1109 South Carbon Avenue
Price, Utah 84501

4.3.1.2 Surface Managing Authorities

United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Region

4.3.1.3 Utility Corridors and Other Right-of-Ways

None existing in documentation or court record. There are no surface or subsurface man-made features within or passing over the permit area. The renewable resources within the permit area consist entirely of range feed for wildlife.

4.3.1.4 Special Use Permits and Leases

Will have to obtain Special Use Permit from United States Forest Service, Manti-LaSal Forest Ranger for surface facilities adjacent to permit area.

The proposed use of private and Forest Service lands, not contained in the lease area, for surface facilities in con

junction with the Crandall Canyon Mine are shown on Plate 2-1. The document for legal right-of-entry and the construc

tion of an access road through Forest Service lands is enclosed at the end of Chapter II as Item 2-3. Documents permitting the use of Forest Service lands for portal facilities not contained within the boundaries of the Federal coal lease is shown as a special use permit application and is enclosed at the end of Chapter II as Item 2-4. Document supporting legal right-of-entry on Swisher Coal Company property is enclosed as Item 2-5.

4.3.2 Mineral Ownership/Mine Plan Area

Coal ownership within the permit area and on contiguous lands is shown on Plates 2-1, 3-1 and 4-1.

4.3.2.1 Coal Ownership and Mines

United States Government - United States Bureau of Land Management, operates as managing authority

4.3.2.2 Coal Leases

SL-062648 assigned through BLM (See Item 2-I). Applicant current lease-holder #SL-062648.

4.3.2.3 Mineral Ownership and Mines

United States Government

4.3.2.4 Mineral Leases

Existing documentation indicates that none exists.

4.3.2.5 Oil and Gas Ownership and Wells

United States Government owner - no existing oil or gas wells within permit area.

4.3.2.6 Oil and Gas Leases

Portion of the permit area held under oil and gas lease by the Federal Government.

PART 4.4 Land Use

4.4.1 Regional Land-Use

Under United States Forest Service jurisdiction to be managed as a multiple use forest area.

4.4.2 Land-Use in Mine Plan Area

Prior to 1939, the permit area was used for dispersed, non-developed recreation and grazing by native big game species. From 1939 until 1955, the area was mined by traditional room and pillar methods. Approximately 35,000 tons were removed from the Hiawatha Seam. Since termination of mining, the land has reverted to its original uses. Cattle are moved through the canyon to grazing areas at higher elevations. Some grazing occurs in the riparian areas at these times, but the canyon slopes are too steep to be suitable for regular grazing. The area is also unsuitable for logging.

After mining operations cease, the area will be restored to support uses it was capable of supporting prior to mining. Since the area will be returned to its approximate original contours, it will most likely be too steep for grazing by other than native game species. The access road will be left in place, pursuant to the wishes of the USFS (surface landowner).

The only present use of the land to be affected by surface operations and facilities within the proposed permit area is for dispersed non-developed recreation. The area has been used for a previous mining operation and the previous operation created somewhat level areas to create access to the coal seam and for coal loading operations.

This has made the area somewhat more accessible and in a better condition as far as access goes, however, the previous operation has also left a lot of trash and lumber, remains of old cabins, fuel and oil cans in the area which might make it less desirable for recreation from an aesthetic point of view. A portion of the existing vegetation was also disturbed and there is no evidence of any revegetation work having ever been done in the area.

The land use of the area prior to original mining in 1939 included dispersed nondeveloped recreation and migrating and grazing by native big game species. The same uses are indicated from the time of termination of original mining activities to the present.

Emery County had previously zoned this area as a recreation forestry and mining area. However, as of November 12, 1979, this area has been rezoned to CE-1 which is a critical en-

vironment zone. A county zoning of CE-1 does not prohibit mining. Therefore, the area will not have to be rezoned.

The current zoning is CE-1, which does not prohibit mining, refer to Item 4-1 (Article IV, Part 9 of Emery County zoning ordinance). Although mining is not prohibited, approval of the County Commission must be obtained.

Based upon a phone call with Don Almond, the Emery County Mining Commissioner, on October 9, 1981, the designation of CE-1 and consequently the status of possible mine development in Crandall Canyon was ascertained. Although mining is not prohibited, page 38 of the Emery County Zoning Resolution states that conditional use must comply with their code and be approved by the County Commission under provisions of Article 9. A review by the Emery County Commission scheduled for October 22, 1981, was postponed until November 19, 1981, because of a lack of information submitted.

Genwal Coal has complied with the procedures outlined in Part 9-1-2, Items A through F. On July 30, 1980, at the planning commission meeting, Genwal's documents were to be reviewed as per Article IV, Part 9 Section F. The planning commission did not approve, disapprove or approve it subject to modification as required by Section F. No action was taken, for reasons stated in the minutes from the meeting, refer to Item 4-2. The commission should have responded as required in Section F of the Emery County Zoning Ordinance. The only response Genwal has had came on September 14, 1981 rather than as provided for in Section F. The letter dated September 14, 1981 indicates the previously submitted documents were adequate for preliminary review. If that was the case, the County planning commission should have responded favorably within the 30 days to the Emery County Commission as required in Section F. During the Emery County Planning Commission meeting held on November 25, 1981 the previously submitted documents were approved unanimously.

The Manti LaSal Division of the United States Forest Service has this area shown on their land use map as suitable for dispersed, non-developed recreation, and unsuitable for grazing as the slopes are steep and there is not enough of the necessary vegetation for grazing. It is also classified as unsuitable for logging operations as conifer is only a marginal component of the area.

Dispersed grazing currently occurs in the permit area while cattle are moved through to higher elevation grazing areas. It is expected that this sporadic grazing will continue after mine life. Big game use will also be part of the post-mine land use.

Crandall Canyon is not actually being used as summer range for cattle, but cattle are moved through the canyon to the actual grazing areas at higher elevations. Because the cattle are moved through the canyon, grazing, although undesirable, does occur, without noticeable depletion of vegetation in the riparian zone. The riparian zone, covering a minute portion of the canyon area, allows for some grazing. It is undesirable for the effect it has on riparian vegetation for use by native wildlife. The posture of the canyon lying outside the riparian zone is too steep for grazing by other than native wildlife. Big game use will be part of the post-mine land-use for this reason. Cattle will still be moved through the canyon with unavoidable sporadic grazing in the riparian zone, but the cattle should be moved to higher elevations as soon as possible to avoid unnecessary damage to vegetation in the riparian zone. By returning the disturbed area to its original contours, it will again be too steep for grazing by other than native big game species.

Prior to original mining in 1939, the land was used as dispersed, nondeveloped recreation and migrating and grazing by native big game species as per USFS documentation of assessment and use. Since termination of original mining activities to the present, documentation of assessment and use indicate use for dispersed, nondeveloped recreation, and migration and some grazing by native big game species.

The historic use of the land has been for recreation, forestry and mining as indicated by previous zoning, historic documentation and visual examination.

The proposed mine plan area has been previously mined and the following information is provided as requested.

Type of mining method used: room and pillar method of mining.

Coal seams mined: Hiawatha seam only seam mined.

Extent of coal removed: Approximately 35,000 tons as per USGS calculations.

Approximate dates of past mining; November 1939 to September 1955 as per USGS records.

4.4.3 Land-Use During Operation

4.4.3.1 Affect of Operation on Land-Use

Applicant feels that greater portion of permit area will not be affected by our operation and that pre-mining land-use will be applicable except for disturbed area around portals and access road.

4.4.3.2 Mitigation of effects of Operation

The maximum area of possible subsidence is shown on Plate 12-2 as the area contained within the zero subsidence contours. As explained in Chapters 7 and 12 no adverse effects are expected to occur as a result of the subsidence mechanisms and no mitigation measures are proposed.

PART 4.5 Postmining Land-Use

Applicant feels that after reclamation, the land can again be used in the same manner as it was used prior to mining operations (See 4.4.2).

PART 4.6 Socioeconomic Considerations

Positive impact, see Chapter I, Part 1.2.

PART 4.7 Bibliography

None